

United States Patent and Trademark Office

MIL

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/667,684	09/22/2003	Takashi Nishihara	10873.788USC5	9398
23552	7590 02/18/2004		EXAMINER	
MERCHANT & GOULD PC P.O. BOX 2903			MULVANEY, ELIZABETH EVANS	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1774	

DATE MAILED: 02/18/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/667,684	NISHIHARA ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Elizabeth E. Mulvaney	1774			
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	ppears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	SS		
THE - Exte after - If the - If No - Failt Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reploperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by staturely received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may ply within the statutory minimum of d will apply and will expire SIX (6) N ite, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. IONTHS from the mailing date of this commit ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.		
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
•—		is action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5) <u> </u>	Claim(s) 33-63 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 33-63 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac	ccepted or b) objected	to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	= : ,				
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre The oath or declaration is objected to by the E					
Priority :	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1.□ Certified copies of the priority documer 2.□ Certified copies of the priority documer 3.□ Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received ir ority documents have be au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	n Application No. <u>09/943,327</u> . en received in this National Sta	ge		
Attachmer		Λ □ 1 <u>-1</u> -12-	w Cummony (DTO 442)			
2) 🗌 Notic 3) 🔯 Infor	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 er No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/03</u> .	Paper N	w Summary (PTO-413) lo(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTO-15: 	2)		

Application/Control Number: 10/667,684

Art Unit: 1774

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 33-63 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-8 of U.S. Patent No. 6,670,014. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they claim the same recording medium having two phase change recording layers formed of the same materials where the layers may have a separation layer therebetween, protective layers, a transparent layer, etc. It is recognized that the patent does not claim all of the layers. However, when looking to the specification to further define the medium, the layers and their thicknesses are fully disclosed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Elizabeth Evans Mulvaney at (571) 272-1527. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 9:00 AM to 6:00 PM and on alternating Fridays from 9:00 AM to 5:00 PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/667,684

Art Unit: 1774

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cynthia Kelly, can be reached at (571) 272-1526.

Elizabeth Evans Mulvaney

Primary Examiner

Group 1700